

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/531,921	KAMMERLOCHER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MING HON	2625	

All Participants:

(1) MING HON.

(2) Brett Valiquet.

Date of Interview: 20 January 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 issue with Claim 93

Claims discussed:

93

Prior art documents discussed:

Not applicable

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/M. H./

Examiner, Art Unit 2625

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2:30PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner discusses the possible 112 issue with the currently filed Claim 93 and the examiner proposed amendments to alleviate the issue. The applicant's representative accepted the proposed amendments. The amendment to Claim 93 is incorporated within the Notice of Allowance..